

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,400	02/10/2004	Benjamin Arnette Lagrange	839-1383	9775	
30024 7	590 07/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C. 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			VERDIER, CH	VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M	
ARLINGTON,		OCK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3745		
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/774,400	LAGRANGE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher Verdier	3745	

	Christopher Verdier	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.	pliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two montl	he of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ie appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	but rejects the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L Delow),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·		_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	uce pecalise.
	at does 1401 place the application i	ii condition for allowa	nce because.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		)
<del>-</del>		Chille	/
		Christopher Verdie	r

Art Unit: 3745

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 10, 11, and 29, reciting that the bottom most tang does not lie along the tangent lines forming the angle, raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.